

POLS 540

**International Relations Theory
Sabanci University
Spring 2012
Professor Halit Mustafa Tagma**

Course schedule: Monday 8: 40-11:30

Office Location: FASS 2019

Office Hours: Thursdays and Thursdays 1:40-3:30 and by Appointment

Email: tagma@sabanciuniv.edu

Course Description: This course provides a graduate level introduction to the vast field of international relations theory. It aims to equip the student with an understanding of the theoretical positions, approaches, and tools in the study of international relations. The course covers classic and contemporary debates in the field. The aims of this course are as follows: a) to have an in depth comprehension of the literature b) the ability to cite and build on IR discourse in your own thesis/dissertation/article c) the ability to maintain a sophisticated discussion in your future engagement with scholars and colleagues in this field d) to help the student situate their own work within the discipline e) to write a strong term paper on your choice of topic that shall be the basis of a future article manuscript f) to develop your discussion and communication skills in an academic professional setting.

Students are expected to complete ALL required readings, and come to ALL of the classes ready to discuss the material. You should bring your readings to class every week. The class will involve a mix of lectures and class discussion that is dependent on your participation.

Communication & Office Hours:

I am available to meet students during regularly scheduled office hours or, if need be, by previously scheduled appointment. Appointments are best scheduled via email by contacting me at tagma@sabanciuniv.edu. Please feel free to email me if you have difficulty comprehending, say, one of the concepts, or, a couple of the paragraphs of the weekly reading.

Everyone will have a “buddy” in this class in order to be informed about any news/topics that may have been covered in class during your absence.

RULES & REGULATIONS:

Courtesy:

In a course such as this one, with such important subject matter, disagreements will necessarily occur. Students are, of course, encouraged to disagree—to raise scholarly concerns, to voice nagging doubts, to offer counter-points, to expose logical absurdities, etc.—with the arguments offered by the texts, by each other. Nevertheless, such disagreements must fall within the grounds of appropriate decorum. Inappropriate disruptions, disregard for messages, and/or personal attacks will not be tolerated. Severe and/or repeated infractions may result in a failing grade. In short, always show respect to your fellow students and scholars.

Academic Honesty:

A good general rule for this course, and truly for all courses, is that students need to be aware of what constitutes academic dishonesty and the consequences thereof. Plagiarism is not tolerated. This includes stealing, copying, as well as not citing where you got the idea or sentence that you are mentioning in your work. Please visit the relevant websites of Sabanci University for further information:

http://www.sabanciuniv.edu/eng/?genel_bilgi/felsefemiz/bilimsel_arastirmada_suistimal_politikasi.html

Incompletes:

A grade of incomplete will be awarded only in the case of dire emergencies that prevent an otherwise satisfactorily progressing student from completing his or her work.

Late Papers:

Make-Up exams will only be scheduled in situations that meet the following criteria:

- 1) A university-sanctioned excuse, properly documented, plus advance notice.
- 2) Legitimate extreme hardship, properly documented (i.e. a doctor's note).

Late papers will be accepted without penalty only if accompanied by medical (or other appropriate university-sanctioned) documentation. Late papers without an officially documented excuse will incur a penalty of 10 points for each day.

Grading:

A major component of the final grade stems from the ability to think critically about the major concepts and topics introduced in readings and discussions. Your ability to show your comprehension of key concepts in your in-class interactions, as well as in your prose and exams, will form the basis for awarding grades.

Your grade is comprised of the following components:

Weekly Reviews:	40
Research Paper:	30
Participation in Discussions:	30
	Total: 100 points

Weekly Reviews:

The students are asked to write a one page review of the weekly readings. In this review, you are expected to summarize the main arguments of each paper in your own words. I also expect you to briefly illustrate the commonalities and differences between the readings. (Single spaced, 12pt, Times New Roman, 1 inch margins). The aim is not only ensure that you are doing the readings, but also develop your ability to concisely summarize the key argument of an essay.

Participation:

Since participation makes up 30% of your grade, you should be aware that coming to class is only a fraction of this. You must come to class having read and prepared to discuss the readings. You are also required to come up with critical questions on the readings in relation to the overall subject matter of our course. To encourage participation, I may take down the names of those who actually voice intelligent questions, concerns, and comments.

The following is what is expected of you:

- Preparation — your contributions demonstrate that you carefully read the assignment and understand the key points.
- Quality of Argument — you contribute accurate, relevant evidence with sound and insightful

reasoning.

- Quality of Expression — your contribution is clear, concise, audible, and directed to your peers.
- Contribution to the Process — your contributions demonstrate that you are listening to others' comments, building upon their ideas, responding to them, respecting them, constructively criticizing them, or asking constructive questions.
- Critical Thought — your contributions show critical awareness, and avoid basic logical fallacies.

Research Paper:

This will be a 8,000 word research paper on a research question of your choice. (i.e. Why did the Soviet Union put nuclear weapons on Cuba?) I expect you to provide a detailed analysis of an international political event by employing a theoretical perspective provided in this course. In doing so, you are expected to compare and contrast alternative theoretical accounts. After providing the strengths and weakness of the respective perspectives, I expect you to explain the event through the theory of your choice. You should choose your topic after talking with me in my office hour. I expect you to state your topic by the 7th week of the course. You shall provide a rough outline of your term paper by the 10th week.

Readings and Schedule:

There is one course book that I have ordered for this course. Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press. The rest of the readings are available as PDF files on the course website or on Reserve Section for you to copy.

The Readings Listed immediately below the topic are **required** readings. I have also listed some **recommended readings** at the end of the syllabus. You should be familiar with the recommended readings if you are to specialize in International Relations.

Week 1 Introduction

(Please come to First Class Having Read the Following)

- Stanley Hoffmann, (1977) “An American Social Science: International Relations” in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press. [PDF](#)
- Stephen Walt, (1998) “International Relations: One World, Many Theories” *Foreign Policy*, (Spring):29-46 [PDF](#)
- Steve Smith, (2007) Introduction: Diversity and Disciplinarity in International Relations Theory. in Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press: 1-12. [Course Book](#)

Week 2 Theory and Science in the Study of International Relations

- E.H.Carr, *The Twenty years' crisis*, Palgrave, 1981, Ch.1,2. [Library Reserve](#)

- Hans Morgenthau, Intellectual and Political Functions of Theory, in James Der Derian *International Theory: Critical Investigations* [PDF](#)
- Wight, Martin. “Why is there no international theory?” in James Der Derian, 1995, *International Theory: Critical Investigations*. [PDF](#)
- Richard Ned Lebow, "Social Science and History: Ranchers vs. Farmers," in Elman and Elman, eds., *Bridges and Boundaries*. [Library Reserve](#)
- James Rosenau, “Thinking Theory Thoroughly” in Viotti and Kauppi, *International Relations Theory*, pp.29-37, [Library Reserve](#)
- Steve Smith, “Positivism and Beyond”, in Viotti and Kauppi, *International Relations Theory*, pp.38-52, Alternatively you can find it in *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*, Cambridge University press, 1986.
- Marysia Zalewski, “‘All These Theories, Yet Bodies Keep Piling up’: Theory, Theorists, Theorising,” in Steve Smith, Ken Booth and Marysia Zalewski, eds., *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), pp.340-353.

Week 3 Classic Concepts and Images in the Study of International Relations

- Kenneth Waltz, 1954, *Man, the State and War*, New York: Columbia University Press. [Chapters in Reserve](#)
- Martin Wight, “The Balance of Power” in Hubert Butterfield ed. *Diplomatic Investigations*, Harvard University Press, 1968, [PDF](#)
- Arnold Wolfers, *Discord and Collaboration: Essay on International Politics* (Baltimore: the Johns Hopkins University Press, 1962), Chapter 1 and 8 [Library Reserve](#) (under my other course IR 410)
- Thomas C. Schelling, *Arms and Influence*. New Haven: Yale University Press. Ch. 1 [Library Reserve](#)
- David Singer, “The Level-of-Analysis Problem in International Relations” *World Politics*, Vol. 14, No. 1, (Oct., 1961), pp. 77-92 [PDF](#)
- Bernard Brodie, “Nuclear Weapons and Strategy” in Phil Williams, Donald Golstein, Jay Shafritz, eds. 1999 *Classic Readings of International Relations* 2nd edition Harcourt Brace & Company [Library Reserve](#)
- Robert Jervis “Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma” in Phil Williams, Donald Golstein, Jay Shafritz, eds. 1999 *Classic Readings of International Relations* 2nd edition Harcourt Brace & Company [Library Reserve](#)

Week 4 Great Debates and Disciplinary History

- E.H.Carr, *The Twenty years' crisis*, Palgrave, 1981, Ch. 3, 5. [Library Reserve](#)
- Smith, Steve. 1995. The Self-Images of a Discipline: A Genealogy of International Relations Theory. In *International Relations Theory Today*, edited by K. Booth and S. Smith. Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Schmidt, B. (1994) “The Historiography of Academic International Relations” *Review of International Studies*, 20(2):349-367.

- Susan Strange, “1995 Presidential Address – ISA as a Microcosm”. *International Studies Quarterly*, 1995, Volume 39, pp. 289-295. [PDF](#)
- Ole Weaver, “Still a Discipline After All these Debates?” in Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press. [Course Book](#)

Week 5 The Emergence of the International Political System

- Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, “Popes, Kings and Endogenous Institutions: The Concordat of worms and the origins of Sovereignty”, *International Studies Review*, special issue on the Westphalian order, 2000, pp.93-118. [PDF](#)
- James Caporaso, “Changes in the Westphalian order: Territory, Public authority and Sovereignty” *International Studies Review*, special issue on the Westphalian order, 2000, pp.1-28. [PDF](#)
- David Blaney & Naeem Inayatullah, “The Westphalian Deferral”, *International Studies Review*, special issue on the Westphalian order, 2000, pp.28-64. [PDF](#)
- Ruggie, John. (1993) “Territoriality and beyond: problematizing modernity in international relations” *International Organization*, 47(1) p.139-174. [PDF](#)
- Spruyt, H. (1994) “Institutional Selection in International Relations: State Anarchy as Order” *International Organization*, 48(4): 527-557. [PDF](#)
- Hedley Bull, “Does Order Exist in World Politics” in Hedley Bull 1977 *The Anarchical Society*. New York: Columbia University Press. [Library Reserve](#)

Week 6 Liberalism and Idealism

- Palan, R. and B. Blair (1993) “Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations” *Review of International Studies*, 19(1) [PDF](#)
- Michael Doyle, “Liberalism and World Politics”, *American Political Science Review*, vol.80, no.4, 1986, pp.1151-1169, [PDF](#)
- Michael Williams, “Reason and Realpolitik: Kant's Critique of International Politics” *Canadian Journal of Political Science* Vol. 25, No. 1 (Mar., 1992), pp. 99-119
- Andrew, Hurrell. “Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations” *Review of International Studies* (1990) 16:183-205.
- Kimberly Hutchings, “The Possibility of Judgement: Moralizing and Theorizing in International Relations” *Review of International Studies* 1992, 18: 51-62. [PDF](#)
- Bartelson, Jens. “The Trial of Judgment: A Note on Kant and the Paradoxes of Internationalism” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 39, No. 2 (Jun., 1995), pp. 255-279
- Mark Franke, “Kant and the Impossibility of International Relations Theory” *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political* 1995. [PDF](#)

Week 7 Political Realism

- Thucydides, “Reflections on the Peloponnesian War” in Phil Williams, Donald Golstein, Jay Shafritz, eds. 1999 *Classic Readings of International Relations* 2nd edition Harcourt Brace & Company [Library Reserve](#)
- Machiavelli, “On Princes and the Security of Their States”, pp.105-107, [PDF](#)
- Jack Donnelly, Realism and International Relations, Chapter 1 and 2 [Library E-Book](#)
- Hans Morgenthau, *Politics Among Nations*, chapter 1 [Library Reserve](#)
- Rose, Gideon. (1998) “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy” *World Politics*, 51(3):144-172. [PDF](#)
- Taliaferro, J. W. (2006) *Neoclassical Realism: The Psychology of Great Power Intervention*. in Sterling-Folker, J. (Ed.) *Making Sense of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers: 38-53. [Library Reserve](#)

Week 8 Neo-Realism: Structure, Actors, and the System of IR

- Kenneth Waltz 1979 *Theory of International Politics* selected chapters in Keohane, Robert eds. *Neorealism and its Critics* [Library Reserve](#)
- John Mearsheimer, Structural Realism in Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press. [Course Book](#)
- Taliaferro, Jeffrey “Security Seeking Under Anarchy: Defensive Realism Revisited,” *International Security* 25, No. 3 (Winter 2000/2001): 128-161. [PDF](#)
- Richard Ashley, 1984 “The Poverty of Neorealism” *International Organization* [PDF](#)

Week 9 Neoliberal Institutionalism: Cooperation, Institutions, and Regimes

- Stephen Krasner, “Regimes and limits of realism: regimes as autonomous variables”, *International Organization*, 1982, vol.36, no.2, pp.497-510. [PDF](#)
- Robert Axelrod, Robert Keohane, “Achieving Cooperation Under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions” *World Politics* 38: 1, pp: 226-254. [PDF](#)
- Joseph Grieco, “Anarchy and the limits of Cooperation: A Realist critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism”, *International Organization*, vol.42, no.3, 1988, pp.485-507. [PDF](#)
- Barbara Koremenos, Charles Lipson, Duncan Snidal “The Rational Design of International Institutions” *International Organization* 55:4, Autumn 2001, pp: 761-799.
- Alexander Wendt, “Driving with the Rearview Mirror: On the Rational Science of Institutional Design” *International Organization* 55, 4, Autumn 2001, pp: 1019-1049. [PDF](#)
- Lisa Martin Neoliberalism in Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press. [Course Book](#)

- Sean Kay, “Neoliberalism: Institutions at War” in Sterling-Folker, J. (Ed.) *Making Sense of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers: 62-74.

Week 10 Constructivism: The Social Construction of IR

- Alexander Wendt, “The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relations Theory”, *International Organization*, vol.41, no.3, 1987, pp.384-96. [PDF](#)
- Alexander Wendt, “Anarchy is what states make of it: Social Construction of Power Politics”, *International Organization*, vol.46, no.2, 1992, pp.391-425 [PDF](#)
- Roxanne Doty, "Foreign Policy as Social Construction: A Post-Positivist Analysis of US Counterinsurgency in the Philippines ," *International Studies Quarterly* Vol. 37 (1993): 297-320 [PDF](#)
- John Gerard Ruggie, “What makes the world hang together: Neo-utilitarianism and the social constructivist challenge”, *International Organization*, vol.52, no.4, 1998, pp.855-885. [PDF](#)
- Ted Hopf, “The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory” *International Security* 1998, 23:1, pp: 171-200. [PDF](#)
- K.M. Fierke Constructivism in Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press. [Course Book](#)

Week 11 Critical Theory and the Frankfurt School

- Andrew Linklater, ‘The Achievements of Critical Theory,’ in *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*, Steve Smith, Ken Booth and Marysia Zalewski, eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996) 279-298. [Library Reserve](#)
- Andrew Linklater, ‘The Transformation of Political Community: E.H. Carr, Critical Theory and International Relations,’ *Review of International Studies* 23:3 (1997) 321-338. [PDF](#)
- Jim George 1994 *Discourses of Global Politics* Chapters 6,7 Boulder: Lynne Rienner [Library Reserve](#)
- Chris Brown, ““Turtles All the Way Down”: Anti-Foundationalism, Critical Theory and International Relations,’ *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 23 (1994) 213-236.
- Mark Hoffman, ‘Restructuring, Reconstruction, Reinscription, Rearticulation: Four Voices in Critical International Theory,’ *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 20:2 (1991) 169-185.
- Rosenau, Pauline, 1990. “Once again into the fray: International relations confronts the humanities” *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 1990

Week 12 Post-structural Interruptions

- Michael Shapiro, 1989. “Textualizing Global Politics” in James Der Derian, Michael Shapiro eds. *International/Intertextual Relations*, Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books.

- Richard Ashley, 1987 “The Geopolitics of Geopolitical Space” Alternatives: Global, Political, Local. and dialogue with Roy and Walker [PDF](#)
- Richard Ashley, 1989. “Living on borderlines: Man, Poststructuralism and War” in *International/Intertextual relations: Postmodern readings of world politics*, eds. James Der Derian, Michael J. Shapiro. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books. [Library Reserve](#)
- Fuat Keyman, 1997 “The Question of Reflexivity in International Relations” in Keyman Fuat 1997 *Globalization, State, Identity/Difference: Toward a Critical Social Theory of International Relations*. Humanity Books. Pp: 91-144. [Library Reserve](#)
- Jim George 1994 *Discourses of Global Politics Chapters 1,8* Boulder: Lynne Rienner [Library Reserve](#)
- David Campbell Poststructuralism in Dunne, T., Kurki, M. & Smith, S. (Eds.) *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press: 148-165. [Course Book](#)
- Kimberly Hutchings, “The Critique of International Politics” in Kimberly Hutchings, 1996, *Kant, Critique, and Politics* New York: Routledge. [PDF](#)

Week 13 The Copenhagen School, Feminism

The Copenhagen School

- Barry Buzan, Ole Wæver and Jaap de Wilde, *Security: A New Framework for Analysis* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 1998). 1-49
- Ole Wæver, 'Securitization and Desecuritization,' in *On Security*, Ronnie D. Lipschutz, ed. (NY: Colombia University Press, 1995) 46-86. X
- Bill McSweeney, 'Identity and Security: Buzan and the Copenhagen School,' *Review of International Studies* 22:1 (1996) 82-93.

Feminism

- Ann Tickner, 1997 “You Just Don’t Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists” *International Studies Quarterly*, 41(2):611-632. [PDF](#)
- Ann Tickner and Robert Keohane exchange, *International Studies Quarterly* (March 1998), 193-7, 207-210. [PDF](#)
- Cynthia Weber, “Good Girls, Bad Girls, Little Girls” *Millennium: Journal of International Politics*. [PDF](#)
- D'amico, F. (2006) *Critical Feminism: Deconstructing Gender, Nationalism, and War*. in Sterling-Folker, J. (Ed.) *Making Sense of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers: 268-281. [Library Reserve](#)

Week 14 Political Economy

- Michael Hardt, Antonio Negri, 2001, *Empire* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Chapters: 1, 2 [Library Reserve](#)
- Strange, Susan. 1996 *The Retreat of the State: The Diffusion of Power in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press: New York. Selected Chapters [PDF](#)

- Immanuel Wallerstein. “The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System.” *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 16, no. 4 (1974): 387–415. [PDF](#)
- Robert Cox, (1981) “Social Forces, States, and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory, *Millennium*, 10(2): 126-155. [PDF](#)
- Robert Cox “Gramsci, Hegemony, and International Relations: An Essay in Method,” in Stephen Gill, ed., *Gramsci, Historical Materialism, and International Relations* (Cambridge University Press, 1993), pp. 49-66. [PDF](#)
- Cafruny, A. W. (2006) Historical Materialism: Imperialist Rivalry and the Global Capitalist Order. IN Sterling-Folker, J. (Ed.) *Making Sense of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers: 209-224. [Library Reserve](#)
- Rupert, M. (2007) Marxism and Critical Theory. in Dunne, T., Kurki, M. & Smith, S. (Eds.) *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. New York, Oxford University Press: 148-165. [Course Book](#)

Recommended Readings

(note that you should pay attention to these readings especially if you are going to major in IR)

Week 1 Introduction (Recommended Readings)

- Der Derian, James. (1995) "International Theory: Critical Investigations" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* New York: New York University Press.
- Dougherty and Pfaltzgraff, *Contending Theories of IR*, Ch.1, theoretical approaches, pp. 1-22.
- Holsti, K. (1989) "Mirror, Mirror, On the Wall, Which are the Fairest Theories of All" *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(3):255-261
- Holsti, K. (1998) "Scholarship in an era of anxiety: the study of international politics during the Cold War" *Review of International Studies* 24(5) p. 17-46.
- Kratochwil, F. and J. Ruggie (1986) "International Organization: a state of the art on an art of the state" *International Organization*, 40(4)
- Steve Smith, "The USA and Discipline of International Relations: Hegemonic Country, Hegemonic discipline", *International Studies Review*, vol.4, no.2, Summer 2002, pp. 67-87. (Blackwell online)
- Viotti and Kauppi, *International Relations Theory*, Ch.1, pp.1-24.
- Wight, M. (1966b) "Western Values in International Politics" in *Diplomatic Investigations: Essays in the Theory of International Politics*, H. Butterfield and M. Wight (eds.) London: George Allen & Unwin.

Week 2 Theory and Science in the Study of International Relations (Recommended Readings)

- Barry Buzan and Richard Little, 'Why International Relations Has Failed as an Academic Project and What to do about it,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 30:1 (2001) 19-39.
- Bleiker, R. 2001 "Forget IR Theory" in Chan, S., Mandaville, P., Bleiker, R. (eds) *The Zen of International Relations: IR Theory From East to West*. New York: Palgrave.
- Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, 'The Benefits of a Social-Scientific Approach to Studying International Affairs,' in *Explaining International Relations Since 1945*, Ngaire Woods, ed. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999) 49-76.
- Colin Elman and Miriam F. Elman, eds., *Bridges and Boundaries: Historians, Political Scientists and the Study of International Relations*, (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001) 39-83.
- Elman, C. and M.F. Elman (2002) "How Not to Be Lakatos Intolerant: Appraising Progress in IR Research" *International Studies Quarterly*, 46(2):231-262.
- James Fearon, "Counterfactuals and Hypothesis testing in political science", *World Politics*, vol. 43, no.2, 1991, pp.169-195. JSTOR

- James N. Rosenau, 'Thinking Theory Thoroughly,' in *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism and Beyond*, Paul Viotti and Mark Kauppi (Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1997) 29-37.
- John Lewis Gaddis, 'History, Science, and the Study of International Relations,' in *Explaining International Relations Since 1945*, Ngaire Woods, ed. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999) 32-48.
- Ken Booth, 'Dare not to Know: International Relations Theory versus the Future,' in *International Relations Theory Today*, Ken Booth and Steve Smith, eds. (Cambridge: Polity, 1995) 329-350.
- Kenneth Thompson, *Schools of Thought in International Relations*, Louisiana State University, 1996.
- Kenneth Waltz, "Evaluating Theories", *American Political Science Review*, vol.91, no.4, 1991, pp.913-17.
- Kenneth Waltz, "International Politics is not Foreign Policy", *Security Studies*, 1996, vol.6, pp.54-57.
- Mark Neufeld *The Restructuring of International Relations Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- Milner, H. (1998) "Rationalizing Politics: The Emerging Synthesis of International, American, and Comparative Politics" *International Organization*, 52(4):759-786.
- Ngaire Woods, 'The Uses of Theory in the Study of International Relations,' in *Explaining International Relations Since 1945*, Ngaire Woods, ed. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999) 9-31.
- Scott Burchill, 'Introduction,' in *Theories of International Relations*, Scott Burchill et al (London: Macmillan, 1996) 1-27.

Week 3 Classic Concepts and Images in the Study of International Relations (Recommended Readings)

- Colin Elman, "Introduction: Appraising Balance of Power Theory," in *Realism and the Balancing of Power: A New Debate*
- Edward Gulick, 1955 *Europe's Classical Balance of Power* Norton
- Jervis, R. (1976) *Perception and Misperception in International Politics*, Princeton: Princeton University Press. Ch. 1 "Perception and the Level of Analysis Problem"
- John Vasquez, "The New Debate on Balancing Power," in *Realism and the Balancing of Power: A New Debate*
- Thomas Christensen and Jack Snyder, "Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks: Predicting Alliance Patterns in Multipolarity " *International Organization*, (Spring 1990): 137-168
- Van Evera, Stephen "Offense, Defense, and the Causes of War," *International Security* 22, No.4, (1998): 5-43.

Week 4 Great Debates and Disciplinary History (Recommended Readings)

- Cynthia Enloe, 'Margins, Silences and Bottom Rungs: How to Overcome the Underestimation of Power in the Study of International Relations', in *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996) 186- 202.
- Dougherty and Pfaltzgraff, *Contending Theories of IR*, Ch.1, pp.40-50.
- Ken Booth, '75 Years on: Rewriting the Subject's Past—reinventing its future' in *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996) 328-39.
- Lapid, Y. (1989) "The Third Debate: On the Prospects of International Theory in a Post-Positivist Era" *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(3):235-254
- Morton Kaplan, (1966) "The New Great Debate: Traditionalism vs. Science in International Relations" *World Politics*, 19(1):1-20.
- Ole Waever, 'The Sociology of Not So International a Discipline: American and European Developments in International Relations,' *International Organization* 52:4 (1998) 687-727.
- Robert M. A. Crawford & Darryl S. L. Jarvis, eds. *International Relations—Still and American Social Science? Toward Diversity in International Thought* (New York: SNUY, 2001).
- Singer, J.D. (1969) "The Behavioral Science Approach to International Relations: Payoff and Prospects" in *International Politics and Foreign Policy*, rev. ed. J. Rosenau ed. New York: The Free Press
- Steve Smith, 'The Discipline of International Relations: Still and American Social Science?' *British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2:3 (2000) 374-402.
- Vasquez, J. (1998) *The Power of Power Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Vasquez, John, (1995) "The Post-Positivist Debate: Reconstructing Scientific Enquiry and International Relations Theory after Enlightenment's Fall," in Ken Booth and Steve Smith (eds.), *International Relations Theory Today*, University Park, Penn.: Pennsylvania State University Press.

Week 5 The Emergence of the International Political System (Recommended Readings)

- Kratochwill, F. 1986 "Of Systems, boundaries, and Territoriality: An Inquiry into the Formation of the State System" *World Politics*
- Evgeny Roshchin. "The Concept of Friendship: From Princes to States", *European Journal of International Relations*, December 2006, Vol. 12 Issue 4, pp.599-624
- John Williams and P.Hayman, "Westphalian Sovereignty: Rights, Intervention, Meaning and Context", *Global Society: Journal of Interdisciplinary International Relations*, October 2006, Vol. 20 Issue 4, pp.521-541.
- Matthew Weinert, "Bridging the Human Rights—Sovereignty Divide: Theoretical Foundations of a Democratic Sovereignty", *Human Rights Review*, January 2007, Vol. 8 Issue 2, pp.5-32.

- Milner, Helen. 1993. The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique. In *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*, edited by David A. Baldwin. New York:
- Paul Schroeder, "Nineteenth Century International System: Changes in Structure," *World Politics* Vol. 39 (October 1986): 7-26
- Richard Elrod, "The Concert of Europe: A Fresh Look at an International System," *World Politics* Vol 28 (January 1976):759-174

Week 6 Liberalism (Recommended Readings)

- Bull, H. (1966a) "Society and Anarchy in International Relations" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.
- Bull, H. (1972) "Theory of International Politics 1919-1969" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.
- Charles W. Kegley, Jr, 'The Neoliberal Challenge to Realist Theories of World Politics: An Introduction,' in *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neoliberal Challenge*, Charles W. Kegley, ed. (New York: St Martin's Press, 1995) 1-24.
- Daniel Deudney and G. John Ikenberry, 'The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order,' *Review of International Studies* 25:2 (1999) 179-196.
- Fukuyama, F. 1993 *The End of History and the Last Man*. New York: Avon Books.
- John Gerard Ruggie, *Multilateralism matters: The Theory and Praxis of Institutional Form*, NY: Columbia univ.press, 1993.
- Mark W. Zacher and Richard A. Matthew, 'Liberal International Theory: Common Threads, Divergent Strands,' in *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neoliberal Challenge*, Charles W. Kegley, ed. (New York: St Martin's Press, 1995) 107-150.
- Michael Doyle, 'A More Perfect Union? The Liberal Peace and the Challenge of Globalization,' *Review of International Studies* 26:special issue (2000) 81-94.
- Michael W. Doyle, 'Liberalism and World Politics Revisited,' in *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neoliberal Challenge* (New York: St Martin's Press, 1995) 67-67-81.

Week 7 Political Realism (Recommended Readings)

- Ashley, Richard (1981) Political Realism and Human Interests *International Studies Quarterly*. 25. pp: 204-36.
- Brian Schmidt, 'Lessons from the Past: Reassessing the Interwar Disciplinary History of International Relations,' in *International Studies Quarterly* 42:3 (1998) 433-460.
- Brooks, Stephen "Dueling Realisms," *International Organization* 51, (Summer 1997): 445-172.
- Charles Glaser, "The Security Dilemma Revisited," *World Politics*, Vol.50 (October 1997)
- Charles W. Kegley, Jr. 'The Neoidealist Moment in International Studies? Realist Myths and the New International Realities,' *International Studies Quarterly* 37 (1993) 131-146.
- Daniel Garst, "Thucydides and Neorealism," *International Studies Quarterly* 33 (March 1989): 3-28

- Halit Mustafa Emin Tagma. 2010 “Realism at the Limits: Post-Cold War Realism and Nuclear Disarmament” in Contemporary Security Policy. Vol 34. No 1
- Hans J. Morgenthau, ‘A Realist Theory of International Politics,’ Politics Among Nations (New York: Alfred A Knopf, 1985).
- Jim George, ‘The Positivist-Realist Phase: Morgenthau, Behaviouralism, and the Quest for Certainty,’ Discourses of Global Politics: A Critical (Re)Introduction to International Relations (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 1994) 91-110.
- Ken Booth, ‘Security in Anarchy: Utopian Realism in Theory and Practice,’ International Affairs 67:3 (1991) 527-545.
- Palan, R. and B. Blair (1993) “Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations” *Review of International Studies*, 19(1):385-389.
- Paul Kennedy, The rise and fall of Great powers, New York: Random house, 1987.
- Randall Schweller, 1998 Deadly Imbalances: Tripolarity and Hitler’s Strategy of World Conquest New York: Columbia University Press.
- Realism, and the Moral Construction of Power Politics.” *International Organization* 58 (2004): 633–65
- Ruggie, John. (1993) “Territoriality and beyond: problematizing modernity in international relations” *International Organization*, 47(1) p.139-174.
- Steven Forde, "International Realism and the Science of Politics: Thucydides, Machiavelli, and Neorealism," *International Studies Quarterly* ,Vol 36 (December 1992):4 1-420
- Taliaferro, J. W. (2006) Neoclassical Realism: The Psychology of Great Power Intervention. in Sterling-Folker, J. (Ed.) *Making Sense of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers: 38-53.
- Taliaferro, Jeffrey. 2000: Security Seeking under Anarchy: Defensive Realism Revisited *International Security*
- Williams, Michael. “Why Ideas Matter in International Relations: Hans Morgenthau, Classical
- Zakaria, Fareed.1999 *From Wealth to Power*. Princeton University Press Chp 1, 2:3-44.

Week 8 Neo-Realism: Structure, Actors, and the System of IR (Recommended Readings)

- Andrew Linklater, ‘Neorealism in Theory and Practice,’ in *International Relations Theory Today*, Ken Booth and Steve Smith, eds. (Cambridge: Polity, 1995) 241-262.
- Barry Buzan and Richard Little, ‘The Idea of “International System,”’ in *International Relations: Critical Concepts in Political Science*, Andrew Linklater, ed. (London: Routledge, 2000) 1274-1303.
- Barry Buzan et al, *Logic of Anarchy: Neorealism to Structural Realism*, NY: Columbia University press, 1993.
- Daniel H. Deudney, ‘Regrounding Realism: Anarchy, Security, and Changing Material Contexts,’ *Security Studies* 10:1 (2000) 1-42.
- David Baldwin, ed., *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993).

- David Baldwin, *Neorealism and neoliberalism: The Contemporary debate*, Columbia University press, 1993.
- Der Derian, J. (1995) "A Reinterpretation of Realism: Genealogy, Semiology, Dromology" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* New York: New York University Press
- Elman, C., M.F. Elman, and P. Schroeder (1995) "History vs. Neo-Realism: A Second Look" *International Security*, 20(1):182-195.
- Gilpin, R. (1981) *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gilpin, R. (1984) "The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism" *International Organization*, 38(2): 287-304
- Gilpin, Robert "No one loves a political realist," *Security Studies* 5, No. 3 (Spring 1996): 3-26.
- *International Security* 15:1 (1990) 5-56.
- Isabelle Grunberg, 'Exploring the "Myth" of Hegemonic Stability,' *International Organization* 44:4 (1990) 431-477.
- John Mearsheimer, 'Back to the Future: Instability in Europe After the Cold War,'
- John Mearsheimer, *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics* New York: Norton, 2001), pp. 1-28
- Keith Shimko, "Realism, Neorealism, and American Liberalism," *Review of Politics* Vol. 54 (Spring 1,992):281-301
- Kenneth Waltz, "Reflections on Theory of International Politics: A Response to My Critics," in Keohane, ed., 1986 *Neorealism and Its Critics* New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kenneth Waltz, 'Realist Thought and Neorealist Theory,' in *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neoliberal Challenge* (New York: St Martin's Press, 1995) 67-81.
- Kenneth Waltz, 'Structural Realism After the Cold War,' *International Security* 25: 1 (2000) 5-41.
- Kenneth Waltz, 'The New World Order,' *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 22:2 (1993) 187-196.
- Mearsheimer, John J. (1994/95). "The False Promise of International Institutions." *International Security*, 19, 3, Winter, 5-93 (includes responses by Keohane and Martin and by Wendt).
- Mearsheimer, John. (1990) "Back to the Future: Instability in Europe After the Cold War" *International Security*, 15(1):5-56
- Robert O. Keohane, 'Theory of World Politics: Structural Realism and Beyond,' in *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism and Beyond*, Paul Viotti and Mark Kauppi (Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1997) 153-183.
- Robert Powell, "Absolute and Relative Gains in International relations theory", *American Political Science Review*, vol.85, 1991, pp.1303-1320.(JSTOR)
- Stefano Guzzini, "Structural power: the Limits of Neorealist power analysis", *International Organization*, 1993, vol.47, no.3, pp.443-78. (JSTOR)
- Walker, RBJ (1987) "Realism, Change, and International Political Theory" *International Studies Quarterly* 31, p.65-86.
- Walker, RBJ (1989) "History and Structure in International Relations" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.

Week 9 Cooperation, Regimes and Peace (Recommended Readings)

- Andrew Moravcsik, "Taking preferences seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics", *International Organization*, vol.51, no.4, 1997, pp.513-553.
- Buzan, B. (1993) "From International System to International Society: Structural Realism and Regime Theory Meet the English School" *International Organization*, 47(3):327-352.
- David Baldwin, ed., *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993).
- Krasner, S. (1989) "Sovereignty: An Institutional Perspective" in *The Elusive State*, Caporoso, J. (ed.) New York: Sage Press.
- Krasner, S. 1999., *Sovereignty: Organized Hypocrisy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press,.
- Richard Cooper, "Economic Interdependence and Foreign Policy in the Seventies", *World Politics*, Vol.24 January, 1972): 159-181
- Robert Axelrod, "The Emergence of Cooperation among egoists", *American Political Science Review*, vol.75, no.2, 1981, pp.306-318.
- Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, "Power and Interdependence Re-visited," *International Organization*, Vol 41,(Autumn 1987): 725-753
- Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*, 2nd ed. (Boston: Little, Brown, 1989)
- Robert Keohane, *After hegemony*, Princeton University press, 1984.
- Robert Powell, "Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neo-realist-Neo-Liberal Debate", *International Organization*, vol.48, no.2, 1994, pp.313-344.
- Susan McMullan, "Interdependence and Conflict," *Mershon International Studies Review*, Vol. 41 May1997):3 3-58

Week 9 Constructivism: The Social Construction of IR (Recommended Readings)

- Alexander Wendt, "Constructing International Politics" *International Security* Vol. 20 (Summer 1995)
- Alexander Wendt, *Social Theory of International Politics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Biersteker, T. (1989) "Critical Reflections on PostPositivism in International Relations" *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(3):263-267
- Carlsnaes, Walter. (1992) "The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis" *International Studies Quarterly*, 36(3):245-270
- David Dessler, "Constructivism Within a Positivist Social Science," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 25, No. 1 January 1999):123-137
- Dessler, David. (1989) "What's at Stake in the Agent-Structure Debate?" *International Organization*, 43(3):441-473.

- Doty R. 1996. “*Sovereignty and the Nation: Constructing the Boundaries of the Nation*” In Biersteker, T., Weber, C. eds. 1996. “*State Sovereignty as Social Construct*” Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Doty, Roxanne. (1997) “Aporia: A Critical Exploration of the Agent-Structure Problematique in International Relations Theory” *European Journal of International Relations*, 3(3):365-392.
- Friedrich Kratochwil, *Rules, Norms and Decision: On the Conditions of Practical and Legal Reasoning in International Relations and Domestic Affairs* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- Katzenstein, P. Jepperson, R. Wendt, A. 1996 “*Norms, Identity and Culture in National Security*” in ed. Katzenstein, P. 1996: “*The Culture of National Security*”. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Katzenstein, Peter J. ed., (1996) *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Martha Finnemore and Kahryn Sikkink, "International Norm Dynamics and Political Change," in *Exploration and Contestation in the Study of World Politics*
- Nina Tannenwald, "The Nuclear Taboo," *International Organization*, Vol.53 (Summer 1999): 433-468
- Richard Price and Christian Reus-Smit, 'Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism,' *European Journal of International Relations* 4:3 (1998) 259-294.
- Theo Farrell, "Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of Research Program," *International Studies Review*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (Spring 2002)
- Thomas Risse, "Constructivism and International Institutions: Toward Conversations across Paradigms," in Ira Katznelson and Helen Milner, eds. *Political Science: State of the Discipline* (APSA 2002)
- Wendt, A. (1994) “Collective Identity Formation and the International State” *American Political Science Review*, 88(2):384-396.
- Wendt, A. (1998) “On Constitution and Causation in International Relations,” *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 24 (December): 101-117

Week 10 Critical Theory and the Frankfurt School (Recommended Readings)

- Andrew Linklater, *Beyond Realism and Marxism: Critical Theory and International Relations* (London: Macmillan, 1990).
- Andrew Linklater, *Men and Citizens in the Theory of International Relations* (London: Macmillan, 1990).
- Beate Jahn, ‘One Step Forward, Two Steps Back: Critical Theory as the Latest Edition of Liberal Idealism,’ *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 27: 3 (1998)
- Richard Devetak, "Critical Theory" in Scott Burchill and Andrew Linklater, eds., *Theories of International Relations* (St. Martin's, 1996).
- Richard Wyn Jones, *Security, Strategy and Critical Theory* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 1999). 613-641.

Week 12 Poststructural Interruptions (Recommended Readings)

- Ashley, R. (1988) "Powers of Anarchy: Theory, Sovereignty, and the Domestication of Global Life" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.
- Ashley, R. and RBJ Walker (1990a) "Speaking the Language of Exile: Dissident Thought in International Studies" *International Studies Quarterly*, 34(2):259-268
- Ashley, Richard K. 1988. "Untying the Sovereign State: A Double Reading of the Anarchy Problematic". *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 17: 2 pp: 227-262.
- Ashley, Richard K. 1989. "Living on borderlines: Man, poststructuralism and war". In *International/Intertextual relations: Postmodern readings of world politics*, eds. James Der Derian, Michael J. Shapiro. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Nevzat Soguk, 1999. *States and Strangers: Refugees and Displacements of Statecraft*, Minneapolis, Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press.
- Der Derian J and Shapiro, 1989 eds., *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*
- George, Jim. and Campbell, David (1990) "Patterns of Dissent and the Celebration of Difference: Critical Social Theory and International Relations" *International Studies Quarterly*, 34(2):269-293.
- Halit Mustafa Tagma, "Homo Sacer vs. Homo Soccer Mom: Reading Foucault and Agamben in the 'War on Terror'", *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, Volume 34, Issue 4, 2009.
- Jenny Edkins, *Poststructuralism and International Relations: Bringing the Political Back in* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 1999).
- Kimberly Hutchins, 'Foucault and International Relations Theory,' in *The Impact of Michel Foucault on the Social Sciences and Humanities*, Moya Lloyd and Andrew Thacker, eds. (London: Macmillan, 1997) 102-127.
- Michael Shapiro (1989) "Textualizing Global Politics," in Der Derian and Shapiro, *International/Intertextual Relations*: 11-22.
- R.B.J. Walker, *Inside/outside: International Relations as Political Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- Richard Ashley, 'The Achievements of Post-Structuralism,' in *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*. Steve Smith, Ken Booth and Marysia Zalewski, eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996) 240-253.
- Roxanne Lynn Doty, *Imperial Encounters* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2002) 1-72, 163-171.

Week 13 The Copenhagen School, Feminism (Recommended Readings)

Kopenhagen

- Barry Buzan and Ole Wæver, 'Slippery, Contradictory? Sociologically Untenable? The Copenhagen School Replies,' *Review of International Studies* 23:2 (1997) 241-250.
- Barry Buzan, Ole Wæver and Jaap de Wilde, *Security: A New Framework for Analysis* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 1998).

- Barry Buzan, *People, states, and fear: an agenda for international security studies in the post-cold war era*.
- Bill McSweeney, 'Durkheim and the Copenhagen School: A Response to Buzan and Waever,' *Review of International Studies* 24:1 (1998) 137-140.
- Bill McSweeney, *Security, Identity and Interests: A Sociology of International Relations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Jef Huysmans, 'Migrants as a Security Problem: Dangers of "Securitizing" Societal Issues,' *Migration and European Integration: The Dynamics of Inclusion and Exclusion*, Robert Miles and Dietrich Thränhardt, eds. (London: Pinter, 1995) 53-72.
- Richard Wyn Jones, 'Travel Without Maps: Thinking About Security After the Cold War,' in *Security Issues in the Post-Cold War*, M. Jane Davis, ed. (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 1995) 196-218.

Feminism

- Adam Jones, (1996) "Does Gender Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations" *Review of International Studies* Vol. 22 pp: 405-429-and Responses
- Benhabib, Seyla and Butler, Judith Exchange in "Feminist Contentions: A Philosophical Exchange"
- Elshstain, J.B. (1991) "Feminist Themes in International Relations" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.
- Enloe, Cynthia, 2000. *Bananas, Beaches, and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press
- Peterson, Spike. 1992 *Gendered States: Feminist (Re)Visions of International Relations Theory*. Lynne Rienner.
- Sylvester, Christine. 1994 *Feminist Theory and International Relations in a Postmodern Era* Cambridge University Press: Cambridge
- Tickner, J. (1988) "Hans Morgenthau's principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation" in *International Theory: Critical Investigations* J. Der Derian (ed.) New York: New York University Press.
- Tickner, J. A. (2003) Seeing IR Differently: Notes from the Third World. *Millennium-Journal of International Studies*, 32, 295-324.
- Zalewski, Marysia. (1995) Well, What Is the Feminist Perspective on Bosnia? *International Affairs*, 71, 339-356.

Week 14 Political Economy (Recommended Readings)

- Cardoso, Fernando H.; Enzo, Faletto. 1979 *Dependency and Development in Latin America*. University of California Press.
- Hardt, Micahel Hardt; Negri, Multitude, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Hardt, Micahel; Negri, Antonio, *Empire* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Krasner, S. (1976) "State Power and the Structure of Intenational Trade" *World Politics*, 28(2):317-347
- Mark Rupert, 'Globalising Common Sense: A Marxian-Gramscian (re-)vision of the politics of governance/resistance,' *Review of International Studies* 29: special issue (2003) 181-198.

- Paul Viotti and Mark Kauppi, 'Globalism: Dependency and the Capitalist World-System,' in *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism and Beyond* (Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1997) 341-364.
- Robert W. Cox with Timothy Sinclair, *Approaches to World Order*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- Robert W. Cox, *Production, Power and World Order: Social Forces in the Making of History* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1987).
- Wallerstein, I. M. (2006) *World-Systems Analysis: An Introduction*, Durham, Duke University Press. Frank, Andre Gunder, 'The development of underdevelopment'
- Weiss, L. 1998. "*Globalization and the Myth of the Powerless State*". *New Left Review*. Iss. 225. pp.3-27